

REMARKS

In the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner required restriction of claims 1-47 of the above-referenced application to one of the following groups of claims: claims 1-13 (Group I); claims 14-25 (Group II); claim 26-37 (Group III); and claims 38-47 (Group IV).


Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement to the extent that Groups I through IV are indicated as requiring separate prosecution.

Since each of the independent claims are related to web-based applications (e.g., generating, installing, providing security management, providing cache management), Applicants assert that there would be no undue burden in prosecuting claims 1-47 together.

As such, Applicants believe that claims 1-47 should not be subject to restriction, and are searchable in the same search effort.

In the event the outstanding restriction requirement is not withdrawn, Applicants hereby elect with traverse the claims of Group I, i.e., claims 1-13, for prosecution on the merits, and hereby cancel without prejudice claims 14-47.

Respectfully submitted,



William E. Lewis  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 39,274  
90 Forest Avenue  
Locust Valley, NY 11560  
(516) 759-2946

Date: July 28, 2004